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Outline of Presentation

Newly Diagnosed HIV cases
- Demographic characteristics
- Case rates by demographic groups
- Trends in rates, overall and by demographic and risk groups
- Geographic distribution

Persons Living with HIV/AIDS
- Demographic characteristics
- Demographic characteristics by each mode of transmission
- Geographic distribution
Considerations in Interpreting Surveillance Data

Data likely underestimate true burden of HIV because:

- Estimates of new diagnoses of HIV infection are subject to reporting delays
- Data only include persons who have been tested/diagnosed and reported to the health department
- HIV-infected persons who are unaware of their diagnosis/infection are not included

Data are limited for certain groups, e.g. youth, transgendered persons, because of small counts or current reporting methods


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number newly diagnosed HIV cases (with or without AIDS)</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number newly diagnosed AIDS cases</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number deaths</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of persons living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA)</td>
<td>4155</td>
<td>4340</td>
<td>4456</td>
<td>4596</td>
<td>4729</td>
<td>4891</td>
<td>5054</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * Data for 2012 are subject to reporting delays and should be considered preliminary
Data to Inform Prevention Priorities: New HIV Cases

- Impact of new HIV diagnoses among groups - by sex, race/ethnicity, age, mode of transmission
- Comparison of burden among demographic groups (case rates)
- How HIV diagnoses have impacted these groups over time (trends)
- Geographic distribution of new HIV diagnoses

Comparison of Newly Diagnosed HIV Cases and Alameda County Residents by Sex

Newly Diagnosed HIV Cases 2010-2012 (n=593) vs Alameda County 2011 (n=1,525,655)

Note: Data include persons diagnosed with HIV regardless of stage of disease (i.e., with or without AIDS)
Comparison of Newly Diagnosed HIV Cases and Alameda County Residents by Race/Ethnicity

Newly Diagnosed HIV Cases 2010-2012 (n=593) vs Alameda County 2011 (n=1,525,655)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>Newly Diagnosed HIV Cases 2010-2012 (%)</th>
<th>Alameda County 2011 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AfrAmer</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>API</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Unk</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data include persons diagnosed with HIV regardless of stage of disease (i.e., with or without AIDS)

Comparison of Newly Diagnosed Male HIV Cases to Alameda County Males by Race/Ethnicity

Newly Diagnosed HIV Males 2010-2012 (n=509) vs Alameda County Males (n=747,938)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>Newly Diagnosed HIV Males 2010-2012 (%)</th>
<th>Alameda County Males (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AfrAmer</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>API</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Unk</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data include persons diagnosed with HIV regardless of stage of disease (i.e., with or without AIDS)
Comparison of Newly Diagnosed Female HIV Cases to Alameda County Females by Race/Ethnicity

Newly Diagnosed HIV Cases 2010-2012 (n=84)  
Alameda County Females (n=777,717)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>Newly Diagnosed</th>
<th>Alameda County Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AfrAmer</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>API</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Unk</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data include persons diagnosed with HIV regardless of stage of disease (i.e., with or without AIDS)

HIV/AIDS Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit  
Alameda County Public Health Department

Comparison of Newly Diagnosed HIV Cases and Alameda County Residents by Age Group

Newly Diagnosed HIV Cases 2010-2012 (n=593)  
Alameda County 2011 (n=1,525,655)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Newly Diagnosed</th>
<th>Alameda County 2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-12 yrs</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-19 yrs</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29 yrs</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-49 yrs</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50+ yrs</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data include persons diagnosed with HIV regardless of stage of disease (i.e., with or without AIDS)

HIV/AIDS Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit  
Alameda County Public Health Department
Newly Diagnosed Male and Female HIV Cases by Mode of Transmission, Alameda County 2010-2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male (n=509)</th>
<th>Female (n=84)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hetero Contact</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDU</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Unk</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSM</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSM &amp; IDU</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data include persons diagnosed with HIV regardless of stage of disease (i.e., with or without AIDS)
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Annual Average Rates of Newly Diagnosed HIV Cases by Selected Characteristics, Alameda County 2010-2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Three Year Average Number</th>
<th>Three Year Average Rate per 100,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RACE/ETHNICITY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>45.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian or Pacific Islander</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Unknown</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * Data in 2012 are subject to reporting delays and should be considered preliminary

HIV/AIDS Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit
Alameda County Public Health Department
Average Annual Rates of Newly Diagnosed HIV Cases by Sex, Alameda County 2010-2012

Average Annual Rates of Newly Diagnosed HIV Cases by Race/Ethnicity, Alameda County 2010-2012
Summary Findings: Characteristics of New HIV Cases

- New HIV diagnoses in Alameda County are predominantly among males, African Americans, and MSM
- Over 58% of HIV diagnoses among women are among African Americans; about half of new HIV diagnoses among women are attributed to heterosexual contact
- The burden of new HIV diagnoses among males is 6.3 times that for females
- African Americans bear almost 10 times the burden of new HIV diagnoses compared to API, 5 times the burden among Whites and 4 times the burden among Latinos
- Adults 20-49 years old have the greatest burden of new HIV diagnoses
Trends: Changes in HIV Diagnoses Over Time

- Trends in rates can be variable due to small number of cases, especially in smaller subgroups.
- Three years of data were combined to calculate average annual case rates for greater reliability.
- Apparent changes in rates over time (decline or increase) may not be statistically significant because of variability despite using this approach.
- Trends do highlight the impact of HIV among different groups over the course of the epidemic.

HIV/AIDS Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit
Alameda County Public Health Department

Trends in Newly Diagnosed HIV Cases by Sex, Alameda County 2006-2012

- Male
- Female
- Total
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Trends in Newly Diagnosed HIV Cases by Race/Ethnicity, Alameda County 2006-2012

Trends in Newly Diagnosed HIV Cases by Age Group, Alameda County 2006-2012
Average Annual Rates of Newly Diagnosed HIV Cases by Neighborhood Poverty, Alameda County 2008-2012

Percentage of Late Diagnoses, AIDS Diagnosed between 2006 and 2011 in Alameda County by Race/Ethnicity

*Late Testers are those who are diagnosed with AIDS within 1 Year of HIV diagnosis
Proportion of Late Diagnoses, AIDS Diagnosed between 2006 and 2011 in Alameda County, by Race/Ethnicity (n=581)

- AfrAmer: 2%
- API: 46%
- Latino: 22%
- White: 9%
- Other/Unk: 21%

Geographic Definitions: Region by Cities

- North County: Albany, Berkeley
- Oakland Area: Oakland, Emeryville, Piedmont, Alameda
- Central County: San Leandro, San Lorenzo, Ashland, Cherryland, Fairview, Castro Valley, Hayward
- South County: Union City, Fremont, Newark, Sunol
- Tri-Valley: Livermore, Dublin, Pleasanton
HIV Cases (2006-2012) by City and Region (n=1,555)

City

Region

Oakland Area
Central County
South County
North County
Tri-Valley

Oakland
Alameda
Emeryville
Piedmont
Hayward
San Leandro
Castro Valley
Ashland
Cherryland
San Lorenzo
Fairview
Fremont
Union City
Newark
Berkeley
Albany
Livermore
Dublin
Pleasanton
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HIV/AIDS Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit
Alameda County Public Health Department

Newly Diagnosed Cases of HIV/AIDS, Alameda County 2008-2012

HIV/AIDS Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit
Alameda County Public Health Department
Summary Findings: Trends and Geographic Concentration

- Between 2006 and 2012 HIV case rates declined steadily.
- HIV case rates remained stable among males and declined substantially among females.
- There was also a steady decline in case rates among African Americans in recent years.
- Since 2009 case rates among 20-29 year olds surpassed those for 30-39 year olds and 40-49 year olds.
- New cases of HIV are most densely located in the Oakland and Central County areas and most concentrated in the cities of Oakland, Hayward, San Leandro, and Berkeley.

AIDS Cases, Deaths and Persons Living with AIDS (PLWA) by Calendar Year, Alameda County 1980-2012

- AIDS Cases Diagnosed
- Deaths
- PLWA
Newly Diagnosed HIV cases*, HIV non-AIDS Cases and AIDS Cases, Alameda County 2006-2012

Note: *Data include persons diagnosed with HIV regardless of stage of disease (i.e., with or without AIDS)

PLWA/PLWH by Sex, Alameda County 2012
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PLWH/A by Race/Ethnicity, Alameda County 2012

PLWH/A by Age Group, Alameda County 2012

HIV/AIDS Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit
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Female PLWH/A by Mode of Transmission, Alameda County 2012

Male PLWH/A by Mode of Transmission, Alameda County 2012
Data to Inform Priorities in Care and Services: PLWHA

- Proportions of PLWHA by demographics and mode of transmission can highlight priority populations for HIV care and services.
- Demographic composition by each mode can further highlight important target populations.
- Geographic location/concentration of PLWHA in the county can help identify areas of need and gaps in access to HIV care and services.

PLWHA Males and Females by Race/Ethnicity, Alameda County 2012

Males (n=4,142) | Females (n=912)
--- | ---
AfrAmer | 36% | 4%
API | 39% | 18%
Latino | 3% | 4%
White | 3% | 15%
Other/Unk | 3% | 66%
PLWHA: Heterosexual Males and Females by Age Group, Alameda County 2012

Heterosexual Males (n=391)

- 0-12 yrs: 2%
- 13-19 yrs: 46%
- 20-29 yrs: 52%
- 30-49 yrs: 0%
- 50+: 5%

Heterosexual Females (n=591)

- 0-12 yrs: 5%
- 13-19 yrs: 56%
- 20-29 yrs: 39%
- 30-49 yrs: 56%
- 50+: 0%

PLWHA by City and Region, Alameda County 2012 (n=5,054)

City

- Oakland
- Alameda
- Emeryville
- Piedmont
- Hayward
- San Leandro
- Castro Valley
- Ashland
- Cherryland
- San Lorenzo
- Fairview
- Berkeley
- Albany
- Fremont
- Union City
- Newark
- Newark
- Dublin
- Pleasanton

Region

- Oakland Area
- Central County
- North County
- South County
- Tri-Valley

HIV/AIDS Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit
Alameda County Public Health Department
Distribution of PLWH/A, Alameda County 2012

Case Rates for PLWH/A, Alameda County 2012
Summary Findings: PLWHA Characteristics in 2012

- The number of persons living with AIDS have surpassed those living with HIV non-AIDS in all groups by sex, race/ethnicity, age group, and mode of transmission.
- African Americans and Whites make up similar proportions (just over one-third) of men living with HIV or AIDS; however, African Americans comprise two-thirds of women living with HIV or AIDS.
- Among male PLWHA just over half are 50 years or older; however, among female PLWHA half are 30-49 years old.
- Among male PLWHA three-fourths are MSM; among females two-thirds are heterosexual.

Summary Findings: PLWHA Characteristics in 2012

- Whites and African Americans together comprise three-fourths of MSM living with HIV or AIDS; Latinos comprise almost one in five MSM PLWHA.
- About two-thirds of heterosexual PLWHA males and females are African American.
- The age distribution of heterosexual PLWHA is different for males and females: just over half of males are 50 years or older, while over half of women are 30-49 years old.
- Persons living with HIV or AIDS are predominantly located in the Oakland and Central County areas and are most concentrated in the cities of Oakland, Hayward, San Leandro, and Berkeley.
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Appendix A

**New HIV Cases: Trends**

Male (n=1,273)  
Female (n=282)

Trends in Newly Diagnosed HIV Cases Among Males and Females by Age Group, Alameda County 2006-2012

Male (n=1,273)  
Female (n=282)
### Summary Findings: Selected Trends in Case Rates

- Between 2006 and 2012 there was a significant decline in HIV case rates among African American females.
- HIV case rates among males 20-29 years old surpassed the rates for 30-49 year-olds.
- Among African American men 20-29 and 40-49 years old, case rates increased during this time period.
Trends in Newly Diagnosed HIV Cases by Mode of Transmission, Alameda County 2006-2012

Male (n=1,273)

- Hetero Contact (n=99)
- IDU (n=58)
- Other/Unk (n=97)
- MSM (n=953)

Female (n=282)

- Hetero Contact (n=171)
- IDU (n=39)
- Other/Unk (n=72)

Trends in Newly Diagnosed HIV Cases Among MSM by Race/Ethnicity, Alameda County 2006-2012 (n=953)

- AfrAmer
- API
- Latino
- White
- Other/Unk

HIV/AIDS Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit
Alameda County Public Health Department
**Trends in Newly Diagnosed HIV Cases Among MSM by Age Group, Alameda County 2006-2012 (n=953)**

- Between 2006 and 20012, the proportion of MSM among new HIV cases increased
- MSM of color comprised an increasing proportion of new HIV cases; Whites comprised a decreasing percentage of new cases

**Summary Findings: Trends by Mode**

- Between 2006 and 20012, the proportion of MSM among new HIV cases increased
- MSM of color comprised an increasing proportion of new HIV cases; Whites comprised a decreasing percentage of new cases
Appendix B

PLWHA by Modes of Transmission

PLWHA: MSM & IDU by Age Group and Race/Ethnicity (n=270) Alameda County 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AfrAmer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-12 yrs</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-19 yrs</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29 yrs</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-49 yrs</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HIV/AIDS Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit
Alameda County Public Health Department
PLWHA: IDU Males and Females by Race/Ethnicity, Alameda County 2012

IDU Males (n=277)  IDU Females (n=176)

- AfrAmer: 1%
- API: 7%
- Latino: 18%
- White: 64%
- Other/Unk: 69%

- AfrAmer: 2%
- API: 7%
- Latino: 18%
- White: 64%
- Other/Unk: 69%

PLWHA: IDU Males and Females by Age Group, Alameda County 2012

IDU Males (n=277)  IDU Females (n=176)

- 0-12 yrs: 1%
- 13-19 yrs: 30%
- 20-29 yrs: 69%
- 30-49 yrs: 1%
- 50+: 35%

- 0-12 yrs: 1%
- 13-19 yrs: 35%
- 20-29 yrs: 64%
- 30-49 yrs: 1%
- 50+: 69%
Appendix C

Characteristics of Transgender PLWHA

Transgendered PLWHA by Select Characteristics, Alameda County 2012 (n=57)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female to Male</td>
<td>AfrAmer (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male to Female</td>
<td>API (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Latino (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other/Unk (68%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HIV/AIDS Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit
Alameda County Public Health Department
Transgendered PLWHA by Select Characteristics, Alameda County 2012 (n=57)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Mode of Transmission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-12</td>
<td>Hetero Contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-19</td>
<td>IDU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>Other/Unk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>MSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>MSM &amp; IDU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary Findings: PLWHA by Mode

- Half of MSM IDUs living with HIV or AIDS were 50 years and older
- African Americans females comprised a much higher proportion of IDU living with HIV or AIDS than African American males
- Transgender PLWHA are predominantly male-to-female, African American and MSM

HIV/AIDS Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit
Alameda County Public Health Department
Appendix D

Geographic distribution of new HIV cases, PLWH, PLWA, and selected socioeconomic characteristics in AC

HIV/AIDS Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit
Alameda County Public Health Department
Distribution of PLWH, Alameda County 2012

Distribution of PLWA, Alameda County 2012

HIV/AIDS Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit
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